

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**  
**Energy Efficiency 2018-2025 Rolling Portfolio Business Plan**  
**Application 17-01-015**  
**Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	CCEE_001-Q24		
PG&E File Name:	EnergyEfficiency2018-2025-RollingPortfolioBusinessPlan_DR_CCEE_001-Q24		
Request Date:	March 22, 2017	Requester DR No.:	001
Date Sent:	April 7, 2017	Requesting Party:	California Coalition for Energy Efficiency
		Requester:	Thomas A. Enslow

**SUBJECT: APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U338E) FOR APPROVAL OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY ROLLING PORTFOLIO BUSINESS PLAN AND RELATED MATTERS. CCE-DR01**

**QUESTION 24**

In its comments on the draft Business Plans submitted during the CAEECC review, CEE recommended expressly identifying the “lack of economic incentives for contractors to invest in worker training” as a market barrier to achieving Long-Term Energy Efficiency Strategic Plan’s goal of an adequately trained and engaged workforce to achieve California’s economic energy efficiency potential. Please explain why the 2018-2025 Energy Efficiency Rolling Portfolio Business Plan does not disclose or address this barrier.

**ANSWER 24**

Under the CAEECC review process, program administrators are not required to accept or implement participant comments. CAEECC’s role is to advise program administrators, while program administrators continue to be responsible for the content of what is filed at the CPUC.<sup>11</sup>

---

<sup>11</sup> “Frequently Asked Questions,” <https://www.caeccc.org/frequently-asked-questions>.