

From: [Hunt, Marshall](#)
To: ["Bijit Kundu"](#)
Cc: [Anderson, Mary](#)
Subject: RE: CEC's Furnace Comments
Date: Tuesday, November 22, 2016 3:30:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

And now we have the extension till next year.

Marshall B. Hunt
Professional Mechanical Engineer
Codes & Standards
Pacific Gas & Electric Company
415-260-7624
mbh9@pge.com

From: Bijit Kundu [<mailto:BKundu@energy-solution.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2016 2:11 PM
To: Hunt, Marshall
Subject: RE: CEC's Furnace Comments

This is an EXTERNAL EMAIL. Stop and think before clicking links or opening attachments.

Nothing has been posted from them yet. Bryan or me will let you know when they are up.

Bijit Kundu | Senior Project Manager | (510) 482-4420 x261

From: Hunt, Marshall [<mailto:MBH9@pge.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2016 2:07 PM
To: Bijit Kundu <BKundu@energy-solution.com>
Subject: RE: CEC's Furnace Comments

Thank you
I want to keep informed
Has SCG filed a letter or did they sign on to AGA who assume file a letter

Marshall B. Hunt
Professional Mechanical Engineer
Codes & Standards
Pacific Gas & Electric Company
415-260-7624
mbh9@pge.com

From: Bijit Kundu [<mailto:BKundu@energy-solution.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2016 1:35 PM

To: Hunt, Marshall; Anderson, Mary
Cc: Bryan Boyce
Subject: CEC's Furnace Comments

This is an EXTERNAL EMAIL. Stop and think before clicking links or opening attachments.

I know hands were tied on this measure, but just in case you did not see the CEC's comments beforehand, they are now [posted](#). Some excerpts...

*"The Energy Commission **encourages the U.S. DOE to adopt Trial Standard Level (TSL) 7, a 95% AFUE efficiency standard without a capacity limit**, which is the most technically feasible and cost justified option. If the U.S. DOE continues to propose a standard based on a capacity threshold, the Energy Commission urges the U.S. DOE to adopt TSL 8, 95% AFUE with a 55 kBTU/hr cutoff, which reduces manufacturer impacts, provides consumers with cost-effective and technically feasible options, and significantly reduces greenhouse gas emissions."*

"...if the U.S. DOE continues with the proposed rules for NWGF, which do not reflect the most efficient technically feasible and cost-justified option, the Energy Commission will consider submitting a petition for a waiver of federal preemption pursuant to 42 U.S.C. section 6297(d) in order to protect California consumers, reduce energy consumption, and avoid unnecessary and harmful greenhouse gas emissions. However, the Energy Commission strongly urges the U.S. DOE to adopt a 95% AFUE standard without capacity cutoff, which has repeatedly been determined to be technically feasible and cost-justified, in order to realize these benefits nationwide."

Bijit Kundu | Senior Project Manager | bkundu@energy-solution.com | (510) 482-4420 x261 | [449 15th Street, Oakland CA 94612](#)

