Please review my draft response to Jan. Send me your comments ASAP. Thanks!!!

We don't have an indication yet on how DOE will be handling LEDs and computers. DOE will begin expedited rulemakings on these two products between November and February. If California does not finalize the LED and the computer rulemakings by the beginning of 2016 we will pre-empted by DOE's standards. We have informal indications that DOE isn't planning on being as aggressive as CA in these two rulemakings. The CEC is hoping that after Title 20 adoption DOE will eventually adopt the same levels and the IOUs plan on supporting the CEC levels at the federal level.

- LEDs Based upon the CEC's 45 Day Language. PG&E's C&S group is supportive of the CEC's proposal

 Important of LED lamps = 2,600 lumens that produce white light (i.e. within Duv of 0.012 of the black-body curve and capable of providing light between 2200 K and 7000K), and that have an E12, E17, E26, or GU-24 base, including retrofit kit products with those base types.
 - Luminous Efficacy Requirement The minimum levels for high color rendering index (CRI) lamps are as follows:

=65 lumes per watt (lpw) in 2017
=80 lpw in 2019

There a tradeoff off with CRI, so efficacy requirements will actually be higher for products with CRI in mid 80s (*80 and 100lpw).

- CRI Lamps greater than or equal to 150 lumens must have CRI = 82 and a minimum score of 72 for each individual color sample R1 R8.

Cordated Color Temperature (CCT)- Lamps greater than or equal to 150 lumens must have Cut = 82 and a minimum score of 1/2 for each involvable across rapine k1. - R8.
 Cordated Color Temperature (CCT)- Lamps greater than or equal to 150 lumens: No more than four MacAdam steps below the black-body curve, and no more than 4 MacAdam steps above the ANSI target white curve (from ANSI C78).
 Rated Life - Lamps greater than or equal to 150 lumens: minimum 10,000 hours L70 projection
 Computers - Sill waiting for the CEC's 2nd Staff Report, Currently, Net CEC and the 1001 was generally aligned on the latest proposed standard, and what is expected in the 2nd Draft Report, which we believe will be significantly more stringent than what DOE will likely be proposing in November. Not only will the DOE standard likely be less stringent, DOE's standard would be effective 5 years after the finale rule (currently estimated to be ~2021), which would arguably make the standard irrelevant, given computer technology advancement. In order to get savings from the Title 20 computers standard, it is imperative that it adopt a standard before DOE does.

 The IOU and CEC proposal scope includes desktops, notebooks, ownfistations, and small-scale severes. The standards for desktops and notebooks framework is a Typical Energy Consumption (TEC kWh/yr), with a base allowance plus adders for functionality. For workstations and small-scale severes standard would be a power supply requirement.

 For desktops, which is the many forms and small-scale severes standard would be a power supply requirement.

 For desktops, and the standard in the proposal to the prop

- For desktops, which is the majority of the savings, the IOUS are currently proposing a two-lier approach with Tier 1 going into effect on January 1, 2018 and Tier 2 one year later. Tier 1 is based on low-hanging fruit improvements in current technology, and includes additional power allowances for higher performance computers, based on the concept of expandability. Tier 2 is based on cost-effective technology improvements that resolve the power supply limitations and remove the need for expandability.
- The IOUs have been actively engaged with the computer industry group (ITI), including a number of in-person meetings to discuss the proposal and submission of a joint proposal for definitions. This week we will be comparing proposals for categorization.

--Original Message--

From: Berman, Janice S

Sent: Monday, October 26, 2015 10:21 AM

To: Eilert, Patrick L; Anderson, Mary; Hunt, Marshall; Zelmar, Karen Subject: FW: Confirming dinner at 7:30

Pat - we need to get back to Melissa on what's up on California vs DOE approach on LEDs and Computers. Who can provide background today?

----Original Message----From: Lavinson, Melissa A Sent: Monday, October 26, 2015 10:18 AM To: Krausse, Mark; Berman, Janice S

Subject: FW: Confirming dinner at 7:30

What Bob will likely raise. I have the background on furnaces. I will inquire about the issues we discussed this morning.

Jan, anything on computers?

--Original Message-

From: Mack, Grant@Energy [mailto:Grant.Mack@energy.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, October 26, 2015 1:15 PM

To: Lavinson, Melissa A Subject: RE: Confirming dinner at 7:30

Alert: This message originated outside of PG&E. Use caution when opening attachments, clicking links or responding to requests for information.

Happy Monday! Confirmed. Bob will likely want to discuss DOE's EE std rulemakings for NG furnaces, LEDS and computers in relation to California's

Advisor to Chair Robert B. Weisenmiller California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth Steet, Sacramento, CA 95814 Grant.mack@energy.ca.gov 916-654-5166

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-

3A SayeOurWater.com&d=B0IFAe&c=hLS V MvRCwXDiNCFvC1XhVzdhW2dOtrP9xQi43rEYI&r=3M32Nan4wbiicxF23XSIDhKA6dGXewcoNpmuaV6G3JQ&m=85XxATCxCkzoOuBRBwhtMET30ILyzofsDN3i4VnDR8s&s=RwRBe0XRfcDWo 8SnBirKcsp.

e0oiMzoUoD366M3BDM&e= * https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-

3A_Drought.CA_gov&d=BQIFAg&c=hLS_V_MyRCwXDINCFyC1Xhiv3dhW2dOtr99xQi43rEYi&r=3M32Nan4wbjicxF23XSIDhKA6dGXgwcoNpmuaV6G3JQ&m=85XxATCxCkzqOuBR8whtMET3OllvzpfsDN3I4VnDR8s&s=hDynxDRTe0igGir6ltBninWPyQQ1nmGdnEV424bCrAU&e=

----Original Message--

From: Lavinson Melissa A [mailto:Melissa Lavinson@nge-corn.com] Sent: Monday, October 26, 2015 9:10 AM To: Mack, Grant@Energy Subject: Confirming dinner at 7:30

Daily Grill in Bethesda

Thanksl