

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**  
**Energy Efficiency 2018-2025 Rolling Portfolio Business Plan**  
**Application 17-01-015**  
**Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	CCEE_002-Q50		
PG&E File Name:	EnergyEfficiency2018-2025-RollingPortfolioBusinessPlan_DR_CCEE_002-Q_50		
Request Date:	May 23, 2017	Requester DR No.:	002
Date Sent:	June 9, 2017	Requesting Party:	California Coalition for Energy Efficiency
		Requester:	Thomas A. Enslow

**SUBJECT: APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U338E) FOR APPROVAL OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY ROLLING PORTFOLIO BUSINESS PLAN AND RELATED MATTERS. CCE-DR02 (QUESTIONS 38 – 54)**

**QUESTION 50**

As Attachment 1 to your response to Data Request Question 21, you provide a redacted version of the October 1, 2015 document titled “EE Work Force Proceeding (WE&T Planning) Project Deliverable.” The redacted information deletes key information regarding this document’s findings that is responsive to Data Request Question 21. PG&E has not raised any claim of privilege or confidentiality for this document. Please provide a non-redacted version of this document. If you claim that the document contains privileged or confidential information, please contact me to resolve those concerns.

**ANSWER 50**

PG&E objects to the assertion that “PG&E has not raised any claim of privilege or confidentiality for this document.” In its April 11, 2017 response to Question 21, PG&E informed CEE that the salary information that was redacted from the EE Work Force Proceeding (WE&T Planning) Project Deliverable report is confidential market-sensitive information and that PG&E does not have vendor permission to reveal those costs.

PG&E asserted, and has confirmed, that the redacted information sought by CEE consists of confidential vendor market-sensitive information that the vendors have not authorized PG&E to disclose. Market-sensitive information about a vendor is information that, if disclosed, may subject the vendor to a competitive disadvantage.